JEA 2020 FACTFINDING WORKSHOP

SESSION #3

DATE: Monday, December 9, 2019

TIME: 11:00 a.m. - 1:03 p.m.

PLACE: Lynwood Roberts Room

First Floor

City Hall at St. James Building

117 West Duval Street

Jacksonville, Florida 32202

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

Joyce Morgan, District 1 Al Ferraro, District 2 Aaron Bowman, District 3 Scott Wilson, Council President, District 4 LeAnna Cumber, District 5 Michael Boylan, District 6 Reggie Gaffney, District 7 Ju'Coby Pittman, District 8 Garrett Dennis, District 9 Brenda Priestly Jackson, District 10 Danny Becton, District 11 Randy White, District 12 Randy DeFoor, District 14 Ronald Salem, Group 2 Tommy Hazouri, Group 3 Matt Carlucci, Group 4 Sam Newby, Group 5

ALSO PRESENT:

Council Staff:

Dr. Cheryl Brown, City Council Director Carol Owens, Chief of Legislative Services Steve Cassada, IT Administrator Jeff Clements, Chief or Research Peggy Sidman, Office of General Counsel Yvonne Mitchell, Research Assistant Sonia Johnson, Executive Assistant

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: If I could invite
3	everyone to please take a seat, I would like
4	to get started. Let me first this is my
5	third time, so I have no more excuses about
6	messing this up. Let me start with a roll
7	call, if I could, of Council Members.
8	Mr. Carlucci.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: Matt Carlucci,
10	agent agent. Agent, State Farm
11	Insurance. Group 4 At-Large, Council Member
12	Group 4 At-Large.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER BECTON: Danny Becton,
14	District 11.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER PRIESTLY JACKSON: Brenda
16	Priestly Jackson, District 10.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER BOWMAN: Aaron Bowman,
18	District 3.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER PITTMAN: Ju'Coby
20	Pittman, District 8.
21	COUNCIL PRESIDENT WILSON: Scott Wilson,
22	District 4.
23	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Michael Boylan,
24	District 6.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRARO. Al Ferraro

1	District 2.
2	COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBER: Deanna Cumber,
3	District 5.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER WHITE: Randy White,
5	District 12.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER GAFFNEY: Reggie Gaffney,
7	District 7.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER DEFOOR: Randy DeFoor,
9	District 14.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER NEWBY: Sam Newby, Group
11	5 At-Large.
12	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: We don't have any
13	mayors or former mayors in the room today,
14	so I can dispense recognizing them. But I
15	have Chairwoman for the JEA Board,
16	Ms. Green, is here, and has requested a few
17	moments at the end of our as part of the
18	public comment.
19	I have a couple of opening remarks I
20	want to share. I've written them down
21	because I want to make certain that I say
22	them correctly. I hope you can appreciate
23	the indulgence of my taking a few minutes at
24	the beginning of this to share this. They
25	say here one of the few pleasures, and

perhaps the only pleasure, afforded in this case of serving as the Chair of this workshop is to offer these opening remarks.

2.2

Let me start off by acknowledging

President Wilson and my colleagues for their

Willingness to travel this journey together.

I've come to realize over the past five months that there are a variety of paths to our respective decisionmaking processes. To that end, I want to offer a special recognition to my colleague, C.M., Council Member, Carlucci, for his passion, adherence to his convictions, and the courage to freely express them when in this situation he called for the resignation of the JEA CEO.

Much has been revealed and transpired since our last workshop. I commend my colleagues, Council Member Priestly Jackson, in particular, Council Members Diamond and Salem, among others, who are seeking explicit answers to some very difficult, but vital issues that are overshadowing all of this. I am grateful they are pursuing them and for their recognition that those matters

can and should be taken up independent of the charge we've taken up here.

2.2

To that end, I firmly believe we have charted, via these workshops -- the course we have charted via these workshops to be -- to inform and educate ourselves and our constituents regarding the rationale for a possible restructuring of JEA and the various viable paths to its ongoing success is not only appropriate but vital.

So let's begin with the end in mind.

What is the end goal? That you and I -- by that I mean the Council, the community, the board and management of JEA -- are able to look back with confidence, five to seven years from now knowing that, despite all the noise, all the distractions and, yes, some of the players involved, we were able to craft a path that resulted in a utility which continues to be a vital community asset that serves the citizens of Jacksonville so very well.

Today we will hear from two members of the JEA management team, both of whom have multiple years of experience with the

1	utility; Council Member Crescimbeni, who is
2	on the agenda again, and would have gone
3	first, but requested that he follow the JEA
4	presentation so as to honor a prior
5	commitment. So we will hear from him.
6	While admittedly a bit fluid, we've made
7	available to you a copy of the workshop
8	schedule. While JEA management and some of
9	its consultants will have center stage at
10	the next workshop, rest assured we will hear
11	from a variety of other subject matter
12	experts as we begin to explore the various
13	scenarios.
14	If any of my colleagues would like to
15	offer comment at this time, I yield the rest
16	of my time.
17	Mr. Wilson.
18	COUNCIL PRESIDENT WILSON: Thank you,
19	Council Member Boylan. Appreciate your
20	efforts in these workshops. It's a great
21	start to a conversation that I think will be
22	going on for a long time.
23	I would like to announce that today
24	General Counsel Jason Gabriel will be
25	drafting legislation to appoint the law firm

1	of Busey Smith Hulsey as our independent
2	legal counsel. And we interviewed four
3	different firms last week, Jason Gabriel,
4	Carla Miller and I. And I chose this firm
5	because they have a longstanding history in
6	Jacksonville of serving the City of
7	Jacksonville and the community. They have
8	served multiple on multiple plaintiff
9	type cases to where we're dealing with many
10	different individuals. And I believe they
11	have the ability to hire the utility experts
12	and the environmental experts that we will
13	need going forward.
14	I'll say that we interviewed four
15	Thursday. And all of them were very good
16	interviews. And I'm hopeful that in our
17	conversations that this firm will hire some
18	of those firms we met with on Thursday as
19	their utility experts. And so those
20	conversations will come at a later date, but
21	this bill will be introduced tomorrow night
22	as an emergency. And I just want to let
23	everybody know that. Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Ms. Cumber.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBER: Thank you for

Τ	that. Through the Chair.
2	So I just want to be clear. I feel like
3	we're hiring an attorney to hire other
4	attorneys. And I'm a little concerned
5	because, looking at Smith Hulsey, they have
6	no background in what we need
7	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Can you speak
8	into the microphone?
9	COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBER: They have no
10	background in what we actually need
11	utility-wise. And I understand that they're
12	a Jacksonville firm, but if we are really
13	going to become educated and be on equal
14	footing, we need utility experts. And I
15	feel like we're going to be spending a lot
16	of money and a lot of time to hire a firm
17	that's just going to turn around and hire
18	other firms.
19	So I would just I'm a little
20	surprised by that choice. And I just would
21	urge my colleagues to give it serious
22	thought because we don't have a lot of time.
23	And as an attorney and having worked in a
24	big law firm, attorneys love to hire other
25	attorneys. So I just I would really

1	encourage my colleagues to give it serious
2	thought and make sure that we are hiring
3	people who can actually objectively do what
4	we need to do, rather than hiring people
5	because they've been in Jacksonville for a
6	long time.
7	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Mr. Wilson, do you
8	want to speak to that?
9	COUNCIL PRESIDENT WILSON: No. We'll
10	have that conversation tomorrow night, I'm
11	sure, at the Council meeting.
12	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Mr. Carlucci.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: I think we
14	talked about this a long time ago. And I
15	don't think as a Council we were prepared to
16	know who the right firm was. And so the
17	idea was to find a firm that could find the
18	firm. And I don't think there is anything
19	unusual about that at all. A lot of
20	organizations hire people that are talented
21	to help find the resources that people and
22	councils and even football teams need to
23	find the right people for the right job.
24	I have so many years experience with
25	Mr. Busey and so much confidence in that law

1 firm. I think they're capable of doing the 2 job we need done par excellence. I respect 3 what Council Member Cumber says and I respect her opinion, but I just thought that 4 it should be countered by the experience I 5 have with them. And I think Council 6 7 President and whoever was with you made an 8 excellent choice. 9 CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Well, if we can hold 10 on in the conversation; as President Wilson 11 said, we'll take it up tomorrow evening. 12 The focus really is the subject matter 13 experts that they can identify and help 14 bring to the table. I really do applaud the 15 opportunity of moving forward with some 16 counsel in this process. And I appreciate 17 you taking the steps and moving forward with 18 it. 19 Hearing no other comments -- yes, ma'am. 20 Council Member Priestly Jackson. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER PRIESTLY JACKSON: 2.2 wanted to speak briefly to the respect of 23 you as the Chair of this committee. And I 24 particularly appreciate Council President

Wilson having convened the committee. But I

25

was a little taken aback with the editorial that you posited in the paper, as well as conversations I heard relative to interviews with you after the legislation that I filed. In particular, I was concerned with language referencing staying in our lane, which to me harkens to oftentimes language that is designed to limit folks for various reasons, sometimes gender, sometimes ethnoracially from discourse.

2.2

But, also, the very nature of our workshops is factfinding. And so my understanding was, with the charge from Council President Wilson, we were undertaking workshops that were designed to be factfinding with the express caveat should information be revealed during the workshops that require legislation to be filed, that that legislation would not wait to any conclusion of a workshop, that that legislation would be filed timely. Hence, after the first workshop and then with the second, that is what prompted the legislation that I filed in the form of a resolution.

1	And I just want to be clear that my
2	understanding of these workshops are not
3	purely theoretical exercises, they are
4	exercises designed to address any
5	deficiencies that are revealed in the
6	process to date, any questions relative to
7	our Charter to date that have not been
8	complied with, any questions relative to
9	Florida Statutes, like the Sunshine and
10	notice that have not been complied with.
11	And that is why I have been so eagerly
12	anticipating the workshops and appreciative
13	of the time that we have for them to
14	explore.
15	But I felt it remiss to not say that I
16	don't know that I think anybody up here has
17	a lane. I don't know that I am in a
18	position to decide what the other 18
19	colleagues are intrigued to do, right. But
20	I do believe the 19 of us working together
21	will protect the constituents in
22	Jacksonville and will do what is best if we
23	allow the 19 of us to operate from a

24

25

perspective of truly addressing what's best

without any agenda going forward, but one

with using our best efforts to make certain
that whatever actions are undertaken are
undertaken in a transparent manner, in a
manner consistent with our Charter, Florida
Sunshine, and the best interest of our
neighbors.

2.2

CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Thank you, Council

Member Priestly Jackson. Quite honestly, my

letter well preceded -- was filed well

preceding even your call for a notice of a

meeting. So it didn't, obviously, refer to

that specifically. If the intent was taken

that way, I do apologize to any following

comments.

I am very much open to the idea, as I mentioned, and I hope you take to heart my opening remarks where I spoke to the idea that I think it's a very good direction that you're offering with what you're looking to do, as well as other members of this.

All I was trying to say is, in the context of these factfinding workshops, that we try and stay focused on what we've laid out as our agenda for the next five months.

Thank you.

1	All right. With that I'm
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BOWMAN: Mr. Chair?
3	Sorry I had my hand up.
4	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Sorry. I didn't see
5	it. Council Member Bowman.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER BOWMAN: Thank you. Real
7	quickly. And thanks for these meetings. I
8	want to step back, don't want to debate, but
9	I would ask President Wilson for that
LO	legislation he says he's going to present
11	tomorrow night, if you could provide us with
12	a grading criteria and what they were being
13	scored on, I would appreciate that to help
L 4	me make the decision tomorrow night. So
15	thank you, sir.
16	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: I want to note for the
L7	record that Council Member Dennis and
18	Council Member Morgan have also joined us at
L 9	the table, and Council Member Salem. Thank
20	you.
21	All right. With that, we invite up
22	Mr. Wannamacher and Ms. Dykes. The folks at
23	JEA management have, as I mentioned earlier
24	on, a presentation for us today. Their

focus largely is to review the process which

25

1	started with understanding the industry's
2	trends, and then efforts on the part of the
3	JEA to communicate that through the Board
4	and to the population as a whole.
5	So I understand Mr. Wannamacher is
6	speaking.
7	Council Members, you have a copy of the
8	presentation at your seats. We will follow
9	along there. It's also on the large screen
10	behind us.
11	MS. DYKES: Good morning. Is the mike
12	live?
13	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Is it green? Yes, if
14	it's green.
15	Could you give us, in terms of context,
16	your brief history, extended history, with
17	JEA?
18	MS. DYKES: Great. Thank you very much.
19	I appreciate the invitation to present to
20	the Council Members this morning. I'm
21	Melissa Dykes, I'm JEA's President and Chief
22	Operating Officer. I've been in the utility
23	business for about 21 years. The last 7 of
24	those years have been with JEA, currently as
25	President COO. So I'm responsible for

1	leading about 1,800 people at JEA in the
2	day-to-day operations of the utility and the
3	great work they do.
4	I'm joined here by Ryan Wannamacher.
5	He's been in the utility business for 18
6	years. The last 5 of those have been at
7	JEA. He's JEA's Chief Financial Officer.
8	Combined Ryan and I have done more than
9	\$50 billion in public power financing. And
10	while we're the ones that are presenting
11	here today, we're presenting information
12	that's largely found in JEA's audited
13	financial statements. Our auditor is Ernst
14	& Young. They're the third largest
15	accounting firm in the entire world with
16	offices in more than 150 different
17	countries.
18	We're also going to be presenting some
19	information that's pulled from our strategic
20	planning process. That process was led and
21	facilitated by McKinsey & Company. They're
22	a global management consulting firm who
23	advises some of the world's leading
24	companies.
25	With that introduction to today's

presentation, I'll ask Ryan to dive right
in.

2.2

CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Excuse me for a second before you take a breath and get yourself ready. I should mention in the agenda we talked about addressing two memoranda that came out in response to a couple leftover questions. Those are available to Council Members and, I believe, to the audience at-large. If we have a chance at the end, we will come back and revisit those. I was just trying to keep us on time. Thank you.

Please go ahead, Mr. Wannamacher.

MR. WANNAMACHER: So thank you. To talk about the factors leading up to a new strategic plan, we really have to go back 10 or 20 years ago. There are three major factors over the last two decades that have changed JEA forever. Some of these things were within our control and some were not.

As you are talking to your constituents, what they need to understand is that JEA is in the midst of unprecedented challenges associated with three things: high debt, declining sales, and expensive uncapped

nuclear contract. So we'll talk about each of those. Again, it's high debt, declining sales and an expensive uncapped nuclear contract.

2.2

The story begins with our financial and debt management from 2000 to 2009. During that time we basically completely maxed out the credit cards. We essentially took a cash advance on the credit card and put the money in the checking account.

In 2005 we finished the year with \$2.4 million in operating cash. I know that sounds like a lot, but it was one day of cash on hand. It's the equivalent -- to put that in perspective, it's the equivalent of an average household with no savings and \$150 in the bank. That's where we were in 2005. The result was reckless and irresponsible. Understand, I'm not accusing anyone of any wrongdoing, okay, but it was an arguably bad policy.

In December of 2005, we had no money in the fuel fund and we had to fill the diesel tank s at north side. So we borrowed -- the fuel fund borrowed to fill the tanks. We

had to dip into customer deposits to make payroll at the end of December 2005. So we did a bond deal in early 2006 to borrow more money. And we started raising rates shortly thereafter.

2.2

The second factor was the impact of market policy and technology disruption.

Since 2006 our electric sales per customer have fallen every single year on a weather adjusted basis. This has been due to energy efficiency, driven by government policy and better technology.

The third factor was the impact of our Plant Vogtle contract with the municipal electric authority of Georgia. The contract was signed in 2008. It requires us to take the capacity and energy from MEAG for 20 years. This project has been in construction for 12 years, and it's more than doubled in price. The original contractor was Westinghouse Electric Corporation, a company that existed since 1886. These cost overruns associated with the plant caused them to file for bankruptcy protection two years ago.

1 So we're going to talk about these three things today and how they affect us moving There is a ton of information 3 forward. floating around out there, and I know it can be confusing, but distilling it down to these three most important factors facing the business are really, I think, going to be helpful to you in your discussions with 9 your constituents.

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. DYKES: Start with a look at customers. JEA is growing in terms of number of customers, and that's a reflection of a healthy and vibrant community that we're based in. Over the last 20 years, we've added 127,000 accounts. That's the equivalent of the entire city of Tallahassee that's been added to our service territory. So the gap for us is not growth in number of customer accounts. The gap is as Ryan mentioned, sales per customer. Over that same time period sales per customer has fallen. And as Ryan mentioned, it's fallen every single year since 2006 on a weather adjusted basis.

So think about your own home, every time

1 you change out a light bulb, every time you 2 replace a failed appliance, every time 3 somebody moves in new housing stock, each one of those individual decisions marginally 4 5 decreases your electric usage. 6 aggregate, it has a significant impact on 7 our system in its entirety. 8 And it's informative to look at the 9 breakdown of what's happening in the 10 industrial sector and compare that to what's 11 happening in the residential sector. Our 12 commercial accounts have lowered their usage 13 per customer much more significantly than 14 our residential accounts. Those are the 15 accounts that have the means to make 16 investments in energy efficiency. So those 17 accounts that most have the means to make 18 those investments are making those 19

investments, which means that
disproportionately our costs are falling
more and more on those who don't have the
means to do so.

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

When you put those things together, our customer growth just isn't enough to offset declines per customer use. And the result

1 is in 2019 our sales were 8.7 percent below 2 where they were in 2006. And just a 3 reminder, these figures are pulled directly from JEA's audited financial statements. 4 MR. WANNAMACHER: So at the same time 5 6 that our sales growth stopped, our debt --7 on the electric system, our debt peaked. In 8 2009 the electric system had a half a 9 billion dollars more debt than poles and 10 plants. Essentially, we had a mortgage for 11 a half a billion dollars more than the value 12 of the home. There is not a regulator in 13 the world that would have allowed the 14 balance sheet that we had in the early 15 2000s. 16 We had to pay down debt. And we have. 17 You can see from this chart, today the 18 system is smaller, we have less assets and 19 we've paid down debt faster. 20 So how do we pay off all that debt? 21 first thing we did was raise rates. Simply 2.2 put we just weren't charging enough. And we 23 waited until it was a crisis to take 24 actions. We raised base rates by 71 percent

since 2006. As Melissa mentioned earlier,

25

our sales fell by a million megawatt hours since 2006. So we collected almost \$300 million more in base revenue on a million megawatt hours less.

2.2

It's important to understand that base rates, when we talk about rate increases, base rates are how we pay for the system.

That's how we pay for poles, transformers, meters and generating facilities.

But we were fortunate. When we raised rates, we were fortunate because global commodity markets cooperated. Fuel costs are passthrough. When we talk about fuel, our fuel costs peaked in 2009 and have fallen since then. When we started raising base rates, fuel costs were also increasing. And we needed to raise both base rates and fuel charges from 2006 to 2009, but it's fuel prices fell, fuel charges came down by over \$300 million per year since 2008.

The other thing we did was we cut employees. We reduced the electric employee count by 23 percent, as customers increased 15 percent. Simply put, we added 61,000 customers that we are serving with 400 less

1 employees.

2.2

But it wasn't enough. Due to our contract with Plant Vogtle, with MEAG for Plant Vogtle, our debt obligations are going to almost double between now and 2027. And this is just the minimum of what we're going to pay. This is just where we stand today with what MEAG has borrowed for that Plant Vogtle construction project. It will be more than this. We do know that.

And understand, Melissa and I, again, we've been at JEA for a number of years.

We've been doing everything we can since we've been at JEA to delay this impact.

These bars on this slide here show the expected debt service obligations that we had in 2012. The gray bars illustrate the debt service that we have reduced since 2012. So we've reduced our revenue requirements by over \$600 million between now and 2026 since 2012. These are costs that we would have already had to collect from customers.

MS. DYKES: That's right, Ryan. I started at JEA seven years ago. And when I

1 first began working at JEA, we were staring 2 down pretty substantial rate increases in 2019 and 2020. So as a result of the work 3 that Ryan's team has been able to do, we've 4 5 created a glide path into those needed rate increases, but there is no magic wand. 6 7 There is still very substantial cost 8 increases that are going to be needed to 9 cover the costs that Ryan discussed. 10 And we're talking about rate increases 11 that are \$20 or more per month for the 12 average residential customer. So for the 40 13 percent of our community that struggles to 14 pay their bills every month, that's a lot of 15 money. And it's, I'm sure, something you're 16 tuned into on behalf of your constituents. 17 Our water and wastewater utility on page 18 14 has faced a similar story over the past 19 decade. On the upper left-hand chart -- and 20 I apologize for the size of the type on this 21 one -- on the upper left-hand chart, we've 2.2 had growth in number of customers, but 23 basically stagnant sales. 24 On the upper-right chart, we've seen

similarly significant rate increases in

25

water and wastewater. On the bottom left, we've been able to pay down debt early in water and wastewater as well, but you see we still have those significant cost challenges facing us, and really importantly for the water and wastewater system, it's a story about future capital needs, because at some point we will need an alternative source of water to be able to continue to serve our community.

2.2

And that looks like a capital requirement of a billion dollars or more that currently doesn't have a funding source outside of rates from our customers. And that doesn't even begin to address septic tank phaseout, which has been a problem that's been with the City of Jacksonville since pre-consolidation and is billions of dollars to solve.

So the really foundational question for the strategic planning process, when we undertook the beginning of this process 18 months ago, is where does the money come from. We're staring down significant rate increases, significant capital needs for our

reliable and affordable utility service now and long into the future for our customers and for our community? And while the challenges -- the three challenges that Ryan discussed are really huge drivers and frame the strategic planning discussion, we've got other challenges as well. Our operating costs continue to increase year over year. And that continues to put pressure on finances.

2.2

There is a couple of important things to note about the frame for the strategic planning discussion. One is that it's not just a Vogtle problem. Vogtle is a very expensive contract. As Ryan talked about, it's uncapped. But even if Vogtle were not part of the equation, we're still facing a utility that had an enormously high debt burden combined with declining sales. That's a huge problem and a huge issue.

The other thing to note is, given that sales per customer have fallen every single year since 2006, it is painfully apparent that we are not going to grow our way out of

1 this problem.

2.2

Flipping ahead to the next section, on page 17, when we started the strategic planning process, we started with getting very clear in what we're trying to achieve.

Obviously, we wanted increase value. But what does value even mean? And through a collaborative process between us as a leadership team, our board, the City Council, our employees, we identified four different measures of value that are all important in terms of what it means to drive value. We call them internally CCEF. It's customer, community, environmental and financial.

And what that recognizes is that value means much more than just financial value.

And sometimes there are balances and tradeoffs between the measures of value that we're looking to maximize as a utility.

This is a very busy slide. I'm

definitely not going to read all these

words, but there is a few key points I want

to leave you with from our process itself.

The first is that it was facilitated by one

1 of the top management consultants in the 2 world. The second is that the development 3 of strategy went far beyond our own leadership team. And you get a flavor for 4 5 that on the left-hand side of this page. had outreach with City Council members, with the Civic Council, Chamber, with rotaries, 7 8 with our unions, and our employees. And 9 that input and engagement is a really 10 important component of the development of 11 the planning process, and that is 12 continuing. 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

It is a painstaking, deliberate,

detailed process that looks at all the

different facets of JEA. And it took a

scenario based approach, that's the approach

we took through strategic planning to

determine the best path forward. And it's

not finished yet. We're still working

through the best scenarios that will

ultimately be presented to our board as part

of the strategic plan.

At a high level, on page 19, our board will consider five options for the path forward. And the question is way more

complex than just a sell versus don't sell question. The question is how best to address the challenges we've talked about in this presentation to continue to deliver reliable, affordable, safe utility service long into the future for our community.

2.2

2.4

The first option that's on this page is status quo. That's essentially, If we continue to run the utility as we've been running it, without making major changes, what does that look like for our customers? And what it looks like is major rate increases. Rate increases in excess of 50 percent, which has a significant impact on affordability and economic development for our community.

In the second scenario that we approached during strategic planning, what we looked at is, What does the plan look like if we respond to that first scenario with the tools that we have available to us, with the constraints that we have as a government-owned utility, and trying to shift some of that financial burden off of our customers? And what that looks like is

Τ	very deep cost cuts at the utility.
2	So there is a balancing, a better
3	balancing, of those four measures of value.
4	It shifts some of the financial burden off
5	our customers, but the trade is we're likely
6	to see degradations in service quality
7	because of how deep those cuts would need to
8	be. So we're able to reduce the rate
9	increases over the next 10 years to 26
10	percent, to cut them almost in half, but at
11	the expense of service quality.
12	Those two scenarios have been presented
13	to our board, and they are reserved for a
14	future workshop agenda, I believe.
15	The third scenario is community
16	ownership through an electric cooperative.
17	So, essentially, our customers would
18	literally own the company. And it's a
19	structure that's used by the utility in Clay
20	County today. And it loses some of the
21	constraints that we have as a
22	government-owned utility, adds some
23	different constraints that are required
24	under federal legislation for electric
25	cooperatives, but it is a viable option for

the community. And it's one that we're just finishing up the work on. It's been a tremendous amount of work to develop that scenario. We'll be presenting that to our board in December, so later this month.

2.2

The fourth scenario is an initial public offering. So that's a privatization structure where shares of stock are offered to the public. And they could be offered in such a way to give priority to people who live in our service territory so that our customers could become our owners through that scenario too. It is an extraordinarily complex structure. And it's one that we don't have fully developed yet to be able to present all the details on.

One of the complexities is balancing federal law through the Securities and Exchange Commission with state and local law that is sometimes in direct conflict. And so figuring out how to create an offering that complies with all applicable laws, when the laws actually literally conflict with one another, is challenging. That scenario will be presented once we finish the

diligence process with it and we have better information to present. We do believe it's viable at this point, but it's complicated.

2.2

And then the fifth option is the outcome from the ITN process itself. And remember, that's one of just five options that will be considered by our board.

If the board chooses options three, four or five on this page, then City Council has the opportunity to decide whether to send the proposal to Duval County voters to decide the path forward for JEA.

It is incredibly important to remember, through all this work and all these presentations, that there is not a rewind button. There is no choice that says we get to go back to JEA of yesterday. That doesn't exist.

The challenges that we've talked about are enormous and they're challenges that will impact our entire community since the utility service is a key driver of quality of life for our community. I understand change is painful. But it's also an incredibly important business conversation

for us to be having. And the challenges
that we've talked about over the course of
this conversation mean that, whatever path
is chosen, whatever JEA becomes tomorrow, it
will be different than JEA today.

2.2

After a somewhat gloomy conversation, I
do want to leave you with a little bit of a
high point. And that's that, although
strategic planning has been a key focus for
our leadership team over the past 18 months,
our top priority has been and remains
providing high value service to our
community. Our employees continue to just
knock it out of the park on operations, and
I'm really proud of the work that they do.

Last year they set records, as you see on this page that's in front of you, for customer satisfaction, electric reliability, wastewater environmental impact, and capital plan execution, and actually a few more than that even on our scorecard. And that's going to continue to be our focus, is making sure that we continue to deliver the best service that we can to the community.

I'll wrap up this presentation with the

1	yellow box that's in the middle of page 21.
2	It's a reminder of the three distinct
3	challenges that are key to that are
4	facing JEA and key to our strategic planning
5	process; and those are our high debt, our
6	declining sales, and an expensive and
7	uncapped nuclear contract. By solving for
8	these challenges through a thoughtful and
9	deliberate strategic planning process, we
10	can continue to provide value to our
11	community, not just today, but long into the
12	future.
13	I appreciate your time this morning and
14	we'd be happy to take questions.
15	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Thank you for your
16	presentation. Let me just ask one, which
17	popped up early on in the conversation.
18	Particularly given the presentation that you
19	presented today, why did you leave if JEA
20	is so attractive to various suitors out
21	there?
22	MS. DYKES: You want to take that one?
23	MR. WANNAMACHER: Sure. It's a great
24	question, and I know it's a question that's
25	been asked multiple times. The reality is

that the declining sales is not unique to It is across the industry declining sales per customer have affected all utilities. And so as an investor-owned utility, let's say, the objective is to increase earnings, right. And so in the absence of growth associated with kind of your traditional service territory, the way that utilities have attempted to get earnings growth is through acquisition. And so if you look at, I think -- I

2.2

And so if you look at, I think -- I think maybe Mike Mace presented the last time. He mentioned that there was -- there's been quite a bit of consolidation in the industry. The reality is there are half the utilities -- investor-owned utilities today that there were, you know, 20 years ago. And they all still want growth. And so there is just less opportunity for them to go, you know, buy other utilities. And so what JEA represents in the context of an IOU is that growth opportunity. And so that's part of the reason why it's attractive.

MS. DYKES: I think that's half the

1	story. But there is another half of the
2	equation that I think is also important for
3	us to talk about, and it's structural.
4	There are things that we simply can't take
5	advantage of or can't do as a
6	government-owned utility. And when those
7	things are unlocked and made available,
8	whether they're on the optimizing the cost
9	side of the equation or whether they're
10	pursuing other revenue opportunities, there
11	is value that's associated with those. And
12	that's value that could be recognized as
13	part of the process.
14	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Can you give us a
15	couple of specific examples?
16	MS. DYKES: Sure. There are
17	opportunities, as an example, to get into
18	the solar business. So right now we react
19	to solar, particularly distributed solar,
20	like residential customers, by essentially
21	just dealing with lower sales and dealing
22	with a grid that's less efficient. But
23	right now, under our legal structure, we're
24	not able to get into the solar installation
25	business ourselves, and that could be a

1	business opportunity that gets unlocked
2	through a different structure.
3	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Not to dominate, I
4	started the questions.
5	Council Member Morgan.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER MORGAN: Thank you so
7	much.
8	And thank you, Ms. Dykes and
9	Mr. Wannamacher, for your comments. As I
10	listened, I know that as you said you have
11	been doing the strategic process, you've
12	really been delving pretty deeply into this.
13	So as you really looked at where we are as
14	JEA, where we would be if perhaps we were
15	sold, how do you see other municipal
16	utilities, what are they doing that is
17	different from JEA? Because as you said,
18	everybody is in the same position, we're all
19	struggling for survival.
20	MS. DYKES: That's a great question.
21	And I don't want to put words in the mouths
22	of other utility leaders, but what I'll just
23	say is, for JEA, what we have is a unique
24	set of circumstances. Everybody is facing
25	the same industry changes, technology

changes, that are causing customers to use less of the product. Not everybody is facing the balance sheet that we're facing, and not everybody is facing the nuclear contract that we have challenging us. So I think, from a planning perspective, that does influence the conversation, because those two things are pretty uniquely JEA.

2.2

I will just say too, from an options moving forward perspective, two of the options are still governmental, they're still owned by the City of Jacksonville.

One of the options is sort of hybrid. And from a -- the perspective of the business, none of them are wrong choices. They're all policy choices, they're going to be driven by policy decisions that are made between our board, City Council and ultimately perhaps voters, depending on which one gets brought forward. But there is nothing fundamentally wrong with any of them; they're just policy judgments in terms of how to create value in the future.

COUNCIL MEMBER MORGAN: Okay. And very quickly, last question: If we have a new

1 company -- you've already said that we have 2 a septic tank phaseout situation that is 3 going to cost us billions of dollars, billions of dollars. We know that, as we 4 5 sit here, we know that we want to continue this process of septic tank phaseout. 6 7 happens if someone else comes in? Will we 8 see that same commitment or will that go by 9 the wayside? 10 MS. DYKES: I think that's a great question. And it's a really, really 11 12 important and challenging issue for our 13 community. And I'm not going to cast 14 judgment on the progress that's been made to 15 date, but I think it's worth observing that 16 the problem has been around -- consolidation 17 happened 51 years ago, and we're still 18 talking about the same issues.

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And so I think if there is opportunity -- no matter which option is chosen, if there is opportunity for us to find a path to accelerate the investments that are just desperately needed to solve that problem, I think that's a really important conversation.

1 And I think it also needs to be coupled 2 with exploring technologies that can offer solutions maybe in a different way. Because 3 the bottom line is it's really expensive, 4 5 and that's part of the barrier to having 6 made more progress in the past on the septic 7 tank issue. But if we can find a way to 8 identify a funding source through a process 9 like this one and we can find a way through 10 technology evolution to be able to find a lower cost solution, and we can wed those 11 12 two things together, then we can maybe solve 13 a problem that's been around for a half a 14 century or more. 15 CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: I've got Council 16 Member Salem, DeFoor, Dennis and Cumber, in 17 that order. And if you can, limit yourself 18 to one question -- because I would like to 19 get everyone in, we do have time constraints 20 here -- I would appreciate it very much. Council Member Salem. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER SALEM: Thank you. 2.2 23 Thank you for coming. I want to 24 piggyback sort of on Councilwoman Morgan's 25 question. I'm not sure the community is

1	opposed to rate increases in order to
2	maintain a municipal utility. Have we done
3	surveys to get the feel of the community on
4	that? I know when this was announced, I
5	think, at July meeting, I think Mr. Zahn
6	commented that we would need to cut an
7	additional 400 jobs immediately if we did
8	not undergo this process. And I think
9	that's why the board went forward with this
10	plan.
11	The second comment I would make would
12	ask you about is have we had I know other
13	cities are looking to reacquire their
14	municipal utilities, as we're trying to
15	explore alternatives for ours. And that
16	bothers me as well, which is sort of a
17	comment on Councilwoman Morgan's question.
18	Have we had other experts look at JEA from a
19	municipal standpoint and advise us on how
20	they would approach this situation or can we
21	get that? Is that a part of the process
22	we're going to be undergoing through these
23	meetings?
24	MS. DYKES: Both great questions.
25	You want me to take them?

Ţ	MR. WANNAMACHER: Sure. Go ahead.
2	MS. DYKES: Both great questions. I'm
3	going to start with your first question.
4	What we've been working toward through the
5	strategic planning process is laying out the
6	five choices, and really quantifying them to
7	help us understand exactly what they mean
8	for our community, both in the short-term
9	and in the long-term. To my knowledge, we
10	have not undertaken surveys that
11	specifically ask the question that you
12	outlined, but I'll have to follow up with
13	you separately on that just to confirm.
14	As it relates to looking at remaining
15	municipal and optimizing that, I think
16	that's the work that we've done with
17	McKinsey through the first two scenarios
18	that have been analyzed in strategic
19	planning. So they're certainly not a bias
20	in terms of direction between the five
21	outcomes. It's making sure that everybody
22	who is involved in the decisionmaking
23	process understands the tradeoffs that are
24	inherent in each of the five outcomes.
25	And forgive me. I think you had one

1	more question, and I'm not sure what it was.
2	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: He's limited anyways,
3	so I'm going to leave it at that.
4	If we don't answer a question today
5	and I'm getting a few folks who are sending
6	me questions please know that I will be
7	more than happy to capture those,
8	MBoylan@COJ.net, and refer them back to our
9	speakers today to address them at a
10	subsequent meeting or part of the next
11	presentation. So I don't want anything left
12	out of this conversation.
13	With that, I'm going to turn the floor
14	over to Councilwoman DeFoor. And then,
15	Mr. Dennis, you'll be next.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER DEFOOR: Thank you,
17	Chairman.
18	I just want to make sure.
19	Mr. Wannamacher, you have been the CFO for
20	how long?
21	MR. WANNAMACHER: About a little over
22	a year and a half.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER DEFOOR: Okay. So my
24	question Ms. Dykes, you said seven years
25	in the current position?

T	MS. DYKES: Un-nun.
2	COUNCIL MEMBER DEFOOR: So in the spring
3	of 2018, when we had the same sales issues,
4	same debt concerns, same Plant Vogtle, you
5	represented to Wall Street a 10-year
6	financial projection that showed a very
7	healthy future with some normal industry
8	challenges. And then just a few months
9	later all of a sudden there is a death
LO	spiral. What happened?
L1	MS. DYKES: They're not internally
12	inconsistent stories, but I think it will be
13	helpful to walk through some of the
L 4	differences. You want to take a stab at
15	that?
16	MR. WANNAMACHER: Sure.
17	I'm not sure exactly the presentation
18	that you're referring to, but I will tell
L 9	you
20	COUNCIL MEMBER DEFOOR: It had to
21	just so you know, it had to do with the bond
22	rating the represented regulators and
23	bond ratings.
24	MR. WANNAMACHER: As we stepped through
>5	the strategic planning process, we worked

1	with McKinsey to evaluate our sales
2	forecast. We looked at the trends of new
3	technology facing the industry in terms of
4	cost productions. And, frankly, it was an
5	update to our forecast from a sales
6	standpoint. The projections that we
7	provided to the rating agencies have
8	included rate increases. They have for
9	our projection is over a 10-year period.
10	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: At what level?
11	MR. WANNAMACHER: I do not recall off
12	the top of my head. I'll have to go back
13	and look to see what we just presented.
14	It's not to say that we're not going to
15	have rate increases over time. I think the
16	point, as we were going through this
17	strategic planning process, was we've looked
18	at it and we said, Well, gosh, we don't see
19	a path to growing our way out of this from a
20	sales standpoint. And so when you looked at
21	it, and you said, All right, are there other
22	options that are more beneficial or as
23	beneficial for the City and provide us with
24	a more sustainable future? And that was
25	really the simple question of the strategic

1 planning process.

2.2

I don't know if you have anything to add?

MS. DYKES: Yeah. What I would just think about is the story of our financial health and the story that framed the beginning of our strategic planning process isn't a we-have-a-crisis-today story. It's a -- this is a terrible analogy, but go with me for a second. It's a little bit like, I'm a very healthy woman, I'm a runner, I do everything I need to do to maintain myself, and I find out I have cancer. I'm not going to die tomorrow, but it doesn't mean that I don't do something proactively to try to cure what ails me.

It's a looking forward into the future and recognizing if you couple what's happening in terms of technology evolution with the things that are facing us from a high debt, from a Vogtle contract, and from a declining sales perspective, you are realistically looking at residential customers having an alternative to our utility scale electric supply by the middle

1	of the next decade.
2	That's something we need to be talking
3	about as a community. That is a crisis
4	that's looming on the horizon. It is not a
5	crisis today in 2019, but we make
6	investments for 30 or 40 years or longer.
7	And it's really important for us to be
8	making those investments with a very future
9	lens.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER DEFOOR: So I just want
11	to clarify. You're saying your
12	representations for the 10-year projection
13	was correct, but you're talking about now
14	years beyond the 10-year projections, or was
15	there something that changed in that 10-year
16	
17	MS. DYKES: I would have to reconcile
18	exactly which projections you're talking
19	about. But all of the projections we
20	presented are correct. They are sometimes
21	used for different purposes.
22	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Well, look for that
23	clarification, if you could.
24	Council Member Dennis.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Through the

Chair. Thank you all for being here. Just to kind of piggyback on your analogy, I don't think that woman would kill her body, kill herself; I think she would want to get the cancer cut out. And I think that's what the community wants, whatever is going on with the agency, to cut that cancer out and continue with the body and make it healthy.

2.2

So in saying that, you have small writing at the top of this presentation that you handed out. I'm going to read that. It says: The following materials are presented solely for COJ City Council planning and action. They are not a projection of future financial performance and, as such, should not be relied upon by present or perspective JEA bond investors to purchase or sell any security or to make any investment decisions. And then it goes on and on and on.

And so it's almost like we're getting one story and your investors or bond agencies get another story. So I'm going to ask you several questions, and I just -- for time, I just want yes-or-no answers to them.

1	MR. WANNAMACHER: Can I
2	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: No. It's my
3	time.
4	So just yes or no: Now, JEA is the
5	eighth largest publicly owned utility.
6	MS. DYKES: Yes.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: So yes-or-no
8	question I'm going to call out the next
9	top seven and tell me if they're pursuing a
10	privatization. The largest is the Puerto
11	Rican Puerto Rico Electric Power
12	Authority.
13	MS. DYKES: Yes.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: They're pursuing
15	privatization?
16	MS. DYKES: Yes.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Los Angeles
18	Department of Water and Power?
19	MS. DYKES: Not to my knowledge.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Long Island
21	Power Authority?
22	MS. DYKES: They are
23	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Yes or no?
24	MS. DYKES: already half privatized.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Yes or no, are

1	they pursuing
2	MS. DYKES: They are not pursuing a
3	structural change; they are already half
4	privatized.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Salt Water
6	Project?
7	MS. DYKES: Not to my knowledge.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: CPS Energy?
9	MS. DYKES: Not to my knowledge.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Sacramento
11	Municipal Utility District?
12	MS. DYKES: Not to my knowledge.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Austin Energy?
14	MS. DYKES: Not to my knowledge.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: And then there's
16	JEA, okay. So my next round of questions I
17	want to ask
18	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: This will be your
19	second round, all right, two questions. I'm
20	trying to limit to give everyone an
21	opportunity.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: But still the
23	same.
24	Just yes or no. Opportunities, and you
25	said that there are no opportunities.

1	Increasing the water footprint, is that an
2	opportunity for JEA to pursue?
3	MS. DYKES: I'm sorry. I didn't
4	understand.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Increasing JEA's
6	water footprint via acquisition?
7	MS. DYKES: Very limited under
8	government restrictions.
9	MR. WANNAMACHER: Under our current
10	structure.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Dark fiber
12	leasing?
13	MS. DYKES: Very limited under our
14	current structure.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Monetizing SJRPP
16	and other owned parcels?
17	MS. DYKES: Partially possible.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Solution home
19	suppliers
20	MS. DYKES: Probably
21	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: become a
22	premier?
23	So, basically, you're saying that we
24	cannot pursue other opportunities under the
25	normal government structure; that we have to

1 have a nontraditional government structure 2 in order to grow JEA's footprint? 3 MS. DYKES: I'm saying that, without a structural change or legislative change, 4 5 that the opportunities that are available to us are limited. 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: So in saying 8 that, can you share with us what can we do 9 as Charter changes, as legislative changes 10 outside of privatization so we can help JEA increase their growth, again cutting out the 11 12 cancer instead of getting rid of the body? 13 Thank you. 14 MS. DYKES: In the interest of time, I 15 might just refer Councilman Dennis to our 16 July board meeting where we spent a lot of 17 time with our board --18 CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: And I fully expect at 19 our session on the traditional path to 20 success, we'll be having a conversation with 21 respect to the Charter changes that are 2.2 necessary. But I certainly would welcome 23 the opportunity to share that information 24 specifically, and we'll bring it back to

this group for the next time, because I

think it is quite a valid question.
MS. DYKES: Mr. Chairman, since the
question was raised, would you permit Ryan
to comment on the disclaimer that's at the
top of the page?
CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Please.
MR. WANNAMACHER: Yeah. Thank you,
Mr. Chairman. So the disclaimer at the top
of the page, everybody needs to understand
we have \$3.4 billion in publicly traded
securities outstanding that are subject to
SCC regulation around disclosure
requirements, okay. I have every belief
that there are bondholders watching this
presentation right now, okay. I have an
obligation to bondholders when I'm making
forward-looking statements to tell them that
I'm making forward-looking statements. And
that those forward-looking statements, while
these are my forward-looking statements and
these are things I expect to happen and
everything else, I have to tell them they're
forward-looking statements and they may not
come true.

And, in fact, in all of our disclosure

1	documents, we have similar language. In our
2	annual disclosure report, it says there are
3	statements like "we expect" and "we plan."
4	Those sorts of statements are
5	forward-looking statements and are subject
6	to change and may not come true, because we
7	don't have a crystal ball, right.
8	And so the Securities and Exchange
9	Commission requires me to be upfront about
10	exactly what these projections are so that
11	investors understand what I'm presenting.
12	And if you look at any kind of investor
13	presentation, whether it's a publicly traded
14	company or anybody else who has publicly
15	traded securities, they make similar
16	statements at the beginning of every
17	earnings call that you ever listen to. So
18	it is completely consistent with Securities
19	and Exchange Commission regulation around
20	disclosure requirements.
21	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Mr. Dennis, do you
22	want to follow up to that or are you good,
23	to that response?
24	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: I'm good, I'm
25	good.

1	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Thank you very much.
2	Moving on to Council Member Cumber.
3	Then I've got Ferraro, Pittman, and then
4	Carlucci in the queue, and then Mr. Bowman.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBER: Thank you.
6	Through the Chair.
7	So I have questions about Plant Vogtle.
8	It's been a big concern of mine, and it's
9	one of the main reasons why I am open to
10	exploring different things to do with JEA.
11	My concern, though, is you all keep
12	mentioning it as a huge liability. And I
13	agree, it's a huge uncapped liability. But
14	nowhere in the 180-page management
15	presentation is it mentioned. And I
16	understand it's a draft and it was leaked
17	and it's not the official one that's going
18	to the bidders; however, looking through it
19	there is no page that's a hold.
20	So I'm concerned one of my big
21	concerns is that the negotiations go
22	forward, a winner is chosen, and part of
23	that negotiation is carving out Plant
24	Vogtle. So we'll be presented with a winner
25	to the ITN, which looks great. And like I

said, I'm open to looking at all five options, but I'm not open to retaining the liability of Plant Vogtle, because I think that -- and I'm glad that you have thoroughly discussed Plant Vogtle. think we should maybe have a whole -- maybe it is in the Chairman's list, but a whole discussion on Plant Vogtle, because I do think it's a very complicated issue. hasn't been in the paper that much. doesn't -- you know, it takes a long time to kind of understand.

2.2

That said, it's very concerning to me

that nowhere in this presentation -- and you

do talk about it's not all rosy, and I

understand what this presentation is for and

you're presenting it to put your kind of

best foot forward; however, whoever is

bidding is going to want to know the full

extent of the liabilities that they're

absorbing. So I'd just like for you all to

talk about that because that's my -- I have

a huge concern about Plant Vogtle. And I

don't want it to be carved out of any sort

of negotiations that are happening. So I'm

1	not really sure why it's not in the
2	management plan.
3	MS. DYKES: I will tell you upfront,
4	unfortunately, I've been advised by counsel
5	that I can't answer specific questions about
6	the contents of that material because of the
7	procurement process that's ongoing.
8	That said, we can talk about Vogtle.
9	And so I hear your feedback in terms of
LO	retaining the liability and making sure that
11	we provide information to anyone who might
12	be interested in the receipt of that
13	information so that the liability itself is
L 4	fully understood.
L5	I think and bear with me for a
L 6	second, because I'm thinking through what I
L7	can and can't say at a public meeting under
L8	the umbrella of both active litigation and
19	an active procurement process.
20	What I would leave you with as comfort
21	perhaps and it may not be comfort enough
22	to answer your question is that the
23	contract itself is well understood in the
24	market. And the information around the

contract is sufficient for those

participating in the process to understand

it.

2.2

what I would say to that -- and I understand the ongoing litigation and I understand that, you know, you all would prefer not to have had this public, and I get all that.

But I just want it to be clear that, if we're presented with an ITN winner and part of that deal is leaving the City with the Plant Vogtle liability, that that's not something I would be able to support. So I really hope it's part of it.

And like I said, I'm interested in going -- you know, I commend the Chairman of this committee for writing the op-ed, I think it's very important for everybody to keep an open mind. I think there are a lot of challenges that JEA is facing, and there are a lot of things that could be done in the private sector and utilities, and I'm open to that.

But Plant Vogtle, as you know, it's a huge liability. And so I just want to make sure that it's absorbed, if we do end up

1	going down the path of selling, that it's
2	absorbed by whoever is taking on that
3	liability. Thanks.
4	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Council Member
5	Ferraro.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRARO: Well, thank
7	you. Ms. Cumber took most of my steam away
8	with that.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBER: I will retract
10	everything I said so my colleague can
11	repeat.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRARO: So what I
13	wanted to talk about you folks weren't
14	here during all these bad deals and
15	negotiations. So I won't talk about Plant
16	Vogtle, but what I will talk about is your
17	scenario about this woman who had the
18	cancer.
19	So if part of what I've heard also is
20	we can't grow our way out of this. So what
21	I haven't really heard, it kind of goes with
22	my colleague here, is that we have this
23	cancer. And we're only talking about taking
24	part of it away. We're not talking about
25	getting rid of it. And that's my biggest

1	concern, because you have the sales part.
2	And all these other companies that are
3	coming in who are bidding, obviously, have a
4	plan on what to do. But as we go to our
5	constituents, we can't say something like,
6	Well, we're in litigation on JEA on
7	particular things. They want to know
8	everything that's happened and they want to
9	know what the debt is, they want to know
10	about the process of the growth and things.
11	So my one question that I'm getting to,
12	Mr. Foreman, is that we're not discussing
13	the debt. And it doesn't really matter what
14	we grow if the debt is growing higher than
15	what we can put on our black line. How are
16	we going to do that? So how are we going to
17	address this debt to the Council that we
18	can't talk about, and how can we talk to our
19	constituents about it?
20	MR. WANNAMACHER: It's a great question.
21	So as you saw from one of the earlier
22	slides, the growth in the debt is associated
23	with our Plant Vogtle obligation.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRARO: Because we
25	really don't know what the debt is.

MR. WANNAMACHER: The current debt 1 service is expected to be around \$131 2 3 million, but they're not done yet. So the expectation is that that's probably going to 4 be around 140 to 150 million when it's all 5 said and done. Our total obligation under 6 the contract will be in excess of \$200 7 8 million --9 COUNCIL MEMBER FERRARO: Let me just --10 MR. WANNAMACHER: -- a year, annually. 11 COUNCIL MEMBER FERRARO: So on the debt 12 when we look at this where it's gone up over 13 100 percent, is it something we should look 14 at and think of it going up 200 percent or 15 300 percent? Because if we're not able to 16 cap it and we're not able to stop it, we may 17 be able to forecast it, but if we can't 18 actually stop it at that point, then the rate is going to be much higher. 19 20 MR. WANNAMACHER: I agree with you. 21 we talked about, it's a hell or high water, take or pay contract. We are obligated to 2.2 23 pay whatever the cost is no matter what. 24 And part of the question in the litigation 25 is whether or not it's a valid contract.

1	But setting that aside, the contract
2	requires us to pay whatever the cost is.
3	And so until it's done, we don't know what
4	that cost is. We get regular updates from
5	MEAG around the progress. We go visit the
6	site. We are continually monitoring it.
7	But until it's done and operating, there is
8	no cap.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRARO: Just so you
LO	understand, we've heard it will never be
11	opened up as a nuclear power plant.
12	MR. WANNAMACHER: I hope that's not the
13	case.
L 4	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRARO: That's what
L 5	we've heard.
L 6	MR. WANNAMACHER: Well, so I will tell
L7	you, from the information that we're
L8	receiving, the expectation is that it will
L 9	still be completed in November of '21 and
20	November of 2022 for the two units. They're
21	saying that they're still expecting to
22	complete it on the same budget that they've
23	told us so that the \$200 million number that
24	I threw out is still consistent. But there
>5	is a lot of time and a lot of things that

1	can happen between now and '21. And so
2	there is some uncertainty around that still.
3	I think, as we get closer, hopefully it gets
4	less uncertain. But until it's done, we
5	don't know.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRARO: Okay. Thank
7	you, Mr. Foreman.
8	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Thank you.
9	I'm going to go ahead and extend the
10	question period time here so we can do so.
11	Mr. Crescimbeni has joined the room, and
12	he's given back to us 10 minutes of his
13	time. So we'll extend the questioning to
14	get through our four more questioners.
15	Council Member Pittman.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER PITTMAN: Thank you so
17	much.
18	First of all, I want to say thank you
19	for being here and discussing it with us. I
20	have to tell you I've had some heartburn,
21	you know. I just feel like we've been
22	bamboozled in some way that you know, we
23	get information from our financial advisor,
24	Kyle Billy. And then we meet with you all,
25	and it just appears that it's not aligning.

1	And then I get questions from my
2	constituents that I think that the
3	information that you all are passing on to
4	us, that it's the correct information. And
5	then we get where we can't talk about
6	certain information. Then I feel like I'm
7	handcuffed.
8	And so right now I'm sitting here today
9	listening to you all for probably the fourth
10	or fifth time not just you all, but
11	individuals from JEA, and I'm not in a
12	comfortable situation where I feel like I
13	can represent my constituents based on what
14	you share with us. That's troubling to me.
15	The transparency is real important to
16	the constituents that count on us to roll
17	out information. And so the information
18	that you all have been giving to us and the
19	information that we're hearing right now, I
20	am I'm at a standstill and I'm real
21	concerned.

You know, at the end of the day, our constituents want to know are y'all going to sell or not, because Jacksonville has been so up close and personal, and services have

been provided over the years. And right now
we can't really say if that's going to
happen if we're sold.

2.2

So, you know, the litigation, the debt we're in, it's too much. And it has to be a plan where we all understand. And right now, I'm not in a good spot. And based on what I've heard from my colleagues today, they're not in a good spot either. So we have to find out how you all communicate that information and you got to get the constituents on board. We are just Council, but you have to get the real people who pay the bills and pay you all. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: The context of that question is probably the transparency issue here, clearly. Could you speak to that?

MS. DYKES: Yeah. Thank you for the feedback, first of all. I think it's really, really important to make sure there is good alignment with our council members because you touch the whole community.

You're communicating with your constituents, and so it's very important and valuable feedback for us. And we'll take that back

1	and figure out ways we can do a better job
2	on that.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER PITTMAN: I appreciate
4	it.
5	MS. DYKES: One of the things that I
6	think is worth mentioning, if I had a magic
7	wand to eliminate the uncertainty in this
8	conversation, I would use it, and not just
9	for Council and not just for our customers
10	but maybe even more importantly for our
11	employees who come to work every day and
12	don't know what's going to happen. And
13	living in that world of uncertainty is
14	really, really hard.
15	It's a really unfortunate part of the
16	process that we are exploring all of these
17	things together without knowing the answer.
18	And I don't know the answer from the
19	beginning as to what's going to happen at
20	the end. That's a really painful place to
21	be, especially for employees where this is
22	their life, this is their livelihood.
23	So believe me, if I had that magic wand
24	I would use it, because I think that's one
25	of the hardest pieces of this whole

1	conversation is just recognizing that we
2	don't have the answer. And, unfortunately,
3	we have to continue to go through this
4	conversation very publicly to get to the
5	right answer among our board and our
6	policymakers.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER PITTMAN: And I think
8	being open about it and transparent, but we
9	have to have consistent information. And
10	that's my concern, the information is not
11	consistent.
12	MS. DYKES: Thank you for that feedback.
13	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Thank you, Council
14	Member Pittman.
15	We've got in the cue Mr. Carlucci,
16	Mr. Bowman, Mr. Newby, Mr. White,
17	Mr. Gaffney, and Ms. Priestly Jackson.
18	And, Mr. Becton, did you want an
19	opportunity to ask a question or comment?
20	COUNCIL MEMBER BECTON: I'm good right
21	now. I'll let you know.
22	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: So, Council Member
23	Carlucci, the floor is yours.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: Observation on
25	failed septic tanks, the reason back that

1	many years ago that the septic tanks were
2	hooked up is because there was a charge to
3	the customer to hook up from the house to
4	the meter that they could not afford because
5	they lived in those vulnerable
6	neighborhoods. That's not the way we're
7	doing things now. So that's the difference,
8	and that's why we have appreciated the
9	partnership with JEA.
10	By the way, I thank you both for being
11	here. I've had dealings with Ms. Dykes
12	before, they've all been positive. And
13	thank you for being here.
14	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Mr. Carlucci, could
15	you use the microphone, please.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: I'm so sorry.
17	It was on. I have to pull it up to me. I
18	won't go through and say all that.
19	Hopefully everybody heard enough of it.
20	We've heard the parade of horribles, and
21	that's what we all continue to hear is the
22	parade of horribles. I'm ready to hear some
23	parade of opportunities. And my question is
24	are you familiar with the City of
25	Jacksonville telecommunications master plan

1	that was done in 2003.
2	MS. DYKES: Not every word in detail,
3	but I'm loosely familiar with the outline o
4	it, yes.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: I have a
6	friend who is brilliant in this type of
7	market of dark fiber and fiberoptics. And
8	he is very concerned about the sale of that,
9	and what the opportunity that that could be
10	for the JEA. So that is one part of a
11	parade of opportunities.
12	I've spoken with public municipality
13	CEOs, with their representatives, with
14	association leaders. And they say that
15	markets are available, and they're not that
16	hard, and it's not that hard to get around.
17	And, Mr. Chairman, I would like to have
18	perhaps Amy Zubaly, who is the head of the
19	Florida Municipal Utilities Association come
20	and address these challenges. And if the
21	challenges are charter based, those are
22	things that we can take advantage of here
23	perhaps on the Council and change.
24	So I really would like to hear the other

side of the story, because the trend

1	country-wide of Plant Vogtle not
2	withstanding has been for our utilities to
3	remain municipal. And if they're sold,
4	people are trying to buy them back. And
5	it's costing them a whole heck of a lot more
6	money. Those are the points I wanted to
7	make, sir.
8	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Thank you, sir.
9	MS. DYKES: Do you mind if I jump in
10	with a comment on trend?
11	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Please.
12	MS. DYKES: I understand there are a
13	number of utilities around the country that
14	are looking to municipalize, and for a
15	variety of unique circumstances,
16	particularly in California. There are also
17	municipal utilities that are looking to
18	sell. So from my perspective, there is
19	enough examples of both that it's hard to
20	say there is a trend.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: I have read
22	if I may reply, Mr. Chairman. I have done a
23	lot of reading on this. I do my homework.
24	The trend is going to municipal-owned
25	utilities because people and communities

want to own their energy and their water.

And they want homegrown people attending to

the catastrophes that happen in their

respective states and cities. And that's

really my biggest issue.

2.2

And I think we're not talking about market possibilities; we're just parading the horribles, which I get that. But we need to start parading some opportunities.

And I believe -- and if I'm wrong, then I'm wrong, but I think there are opportunities out there and we're not looking at them.

We're just trying to sell. And it just seems like we went from one to five lickety-split in terms of the different options. And now we have people in Atlanta that are looking to make a sale. And we have not explored the other options as thoroughly as we need to.

Nobody knows better than I do, in my family, in my employees where I work, of the challenges and the headwinds and how you have to do things differently. So this is not a voice of descent because I just want things to be the same way they've always

Τ	peen.
2	I use a lot of money I save because my
3	father told me, Keep a large slug of money
4	in your savings for a rainy day. We got hit
5	with a real bad rainy day, and we had to
6	change the way we did business. It was sink
7	or swim. And we decided to swim on behalf
8	of my customers and on behalf of my family.
9	And we survived. Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: And for your
11	information, clearly we will be approaching
12	and discussing some of those at length as we
13	get into particularly in sessions on
14	other opportunities going forward.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: I look forward to that
17	opportunity to have that conversation.
18	We're getting short on time so I want to
19	move on to Council Member Bowman.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER BOWMAN: Thank you,
21	Mr. Chair. I'll try to be brief.
22	First comment, I'm a strategy guy. I've
23	been critical of what you guys have done.
24	Certainly, it seems to me you guys got
25	together and said, Let's scare all the

employees, let's scare the consumer, let's

offer them cash incentives and they will

come running to us to want us to sell this

utility. I'm telling you that's what I see

and that's the impression from the

community.

2.2

We've talked about town halls. My recommendation is we've got to get them started now. I will fully offer up District 3 as the first place to have a town hall community meeting where you come out to the people, because on your chart of what you've done, involving the public other than being able to watch board meetings and send us some stuff in the mail, really has not happened. So you have to do that because we need to have you out in the public and tell everybody what is going on, what is the process.

So that leads me to my question, to Mr.

Carlucci's point, you jumped right into the

ITN process certainly before, I think,

options three and four were done. And I

would argue you didn't even complete option

two. I personally can't ever make a

decision on the ITN process, which is option five, without knowing we fully investigated and we've looked at everything we can do as a utility, what are the hybrid options, what is out there.

2.2

So my question to you is, Why did we jump to the ITN so quickly? And what is the plan after we potentially have an offer agreed upon ITN-wise where we go backwards so we as a Council and we as a public can make a decision on what is the best thing to do for JEA? And with that, I'll let you answer. Thank you.

MS. DYKES: Thank you. A couple things
I want to pull out of your comments. One is
the parade of horribles, as it's labeled,
wasn't discussed so we could jump to a
conclusion. The parade of horribles gets
discussed because -- I'll confess one of my
guilty pleasures is Christmas Hallmark
movies. And they very predictably always
have a happy ending.

And one of the reasons we discussed the challenges we're facing as a utility is because I don't think there is a Hallmark

1 Christmas movie ending here. I think no 2 matter what happens, we are challenged. No matter what happens, it's not going to look, 3 again, tomorrow like it does today, no matter which of the five options gets picked.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And it's a really important underlying fundamental thing for us all to understand is there isn't a shining rainbow happy ending here. It is a very challenging set of circumstances that we find ourselves in.

In terms of the ITN process itself, it's long, and that's really the basic answer to your question. It's for us to be able to go through a thoughtful strategic planning process that is scenario-based. We have to develop all the scenarios. And that one just takes the longest to develop.

So as I mentioned earlier, we're going to be presenting scenario three to the board in December. That is almost fully fleshed out and done. There will be lots more information available on that. I believe scenario four will be close on its heels. There is some word remaining outstanding on

1	that, but it's been an enormous amount of
2	work to develop each of those scenarios.
3	And that's been going on parallel with the
4	ITN work so that, at the end of our
5	strategic planning process, we have real
6	options that our board and our community
7	consider together.
8	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: And, Council Member
9	Bowman, I encourage you to take a look at
10	the calendar we've established. As you can
11	see, scenario number five is the last one
12	we're going to be taking up. So I want to
13	see us fully vet all four scenarios in
14	advance of looking at the ITN or whatever
15	comes out of that process.
16	Mr. Newby.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER NEWBY: Yes. Councilman
18	Bowman actually stole my question, but I do
19	have another question. With a low bond
20	rate, why you would not refinance and save
21	millions of dollars?
22	MR. WANNAMACHER: So we have it's a
23	great question, thank you. We have
24	refinanced \$4.2 billion in debt in the
25	last since 2012, I believe it is. And

we've taken advantage of those low interest rates. We have also paid off \$900 million of debt early over that time period. So a better rate than low rates is no rate, frankly.

2.2

And so part of what we're doing, part of the strategy is to continue to pay down debt early. And so we're actually retiring that debt as opposed to refinancing it. And we're going to continue to do that.

We've done -- we've done refinancings over time. I think the next one up will probably be, as we shut down the St. Johns River Power Park, we're going to refinance that debt and pay it off. And so there will be additional -- you'll see us continue to do additional activities over the coming years, irrespective of whatever process we do here. So that commitment isn't going to change. And we've done a lot of it. In fact, we've had about 33, \$34 million in annual debt service savings just associated with those refinancings over the last several years.

COUNCIL MEMBER NEWBY: Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Council Member White.
2	COUNCIL MEMBER WHITE: Yes, sir. You
3	mention 131 million, could go up as high as
4	200 million. Is that per year, forever, or
5	how many
6	MR. WANNAMACHER: Great question. Yeah
7	So the contract itself we expect to cost
8	about \$200 million, and that will include
9	the operating costs associated with the
10	facility once its online. And that's \$200
11	million a year. It will be kind of the
12	average over time. It's only a 20-year
13	contract, so it will go down. After that
14	20-year period, it will go away. And so
15	it's only total cost is a little over \$4
16	billion is what we're expecting.
17	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Thank you, Mr. White.
18	Mr. Gaffney.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER GAFFNEY: Through the
20	Chair. Thank you for what you've done here
21	and thank you for coming.
22	Quick question: The five options that
23	you guys outlined here, all of them are
24	subjected to have some kind of tax increase
25	regardless of whatever we do today? If we

1	sell it or if we keep it, there are going to
2	be tax increases?
3	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Rate increases.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER GAFFNEY: Rate increase,
5	I'm sorry.
6	MS. DYKES: I don't think we know enough
7	sitting here to answer your question fully
8	right now. We haven't finished the process
9	on two of the options. So once we finish
10	the process, we will be in a better position
11	to be able to answer your question.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER GAFFNEY: Let me say it
13	another way. I know you say on option one,
14	if we decide to keep it, that will be a 50
15	percent increase, we assume. That's what
16	you guys estimate. And I think one of my
17	colleagues couple minutes ago, as we were
18	talking about the various options, if I
19	purchase this for \$5, I'm going to want to
20	make my money back. And that \$5 might be 4
21	or \$5 billion, so you don't assume that
22	they're going to come here and not go up on
23	the rate? And that's just a question.
24	MR. WANNAMACHER: Sure. So I can take
25	that. So it's important to understand that

1	the regulatory framework is what it is.
2	Rates are going to be what the Public
3	Service Commission approves. And under all
4	scenarios, right, the rates as far as an
5	IOU buying it, right, the rates are
6	generally going to be, you know, the same
7	for all of those buyers, right. So the only
8	things the buyers can really push on are
9	what they're willing to pay for it, it's
10	just cost of capital, and what they think
11	they can do from an operating expense
12	standpoint, right.
13	But at the end of the day, the rates are
14	regulated by the Public Service Commission.
15	And regardless of who the actual owner is,
16	they're generally going to be kind of the
17	same
18	COUNCIL MEMBER GAFFNEY: And since my
19	MR. WANNAMACHER: across those
20	owners. They're bidding basically on how
21	much they're willing to pay for the cash
22	flows.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER GAFFNEY: Since my time
24	is running out, you mentioned earlier that
25	we, if we kept option one, it's going to be

a 50 percent increase, rate increase. So
how you can compare a 50 percent and now
you're saying that the rate is going to be
based on Tallahassee or whatever they decide
to do?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. WANNAMACHER: Yeah. I think -- and I'm sorry. I think there are two kind of points in there. To Melissa's point, I don't think we have a comparison of how the rates compare relative to what the status quo is today. And we will have that comparison. I think my other point was as it relates to who the owner is, regardless of who the owner is, the rates are going to be set by the Public Service Commission and they're based largely on the amount of investment in the system. It's based on the rate base and the assets that are invested in the system. And that's not really going to change regardless of who the owner is under scenarios four and five.

COUNCIL MEMBER GAFFNEY: And I'm going to close. I'm just -- you still -- you said 50 percent rates for Jacksonville. And you hadn't answered that question. If it's 5

1	percent today and you just gave us a number
2	of 50 percent, I'm just trying to figure out
3	how you got to that number, but don't answer
4	that, because I have to move. But at some
5	point at the next meeting, come back and try
6	to get me to understand that.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Great question,
8	great question.
9	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: All right. Council
10	Member Priestly Jackson, and then, finally,
11	Mr. Becton.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER PRIESTLY JACKSON:
13	(Indicating.)
14	COUNCIL MEMBER BECTON: Thank you,
15	Mr. Committee Chair.
16	And I appreciate the opportunity to ask
17	the questions of you all and have the public
18	engaged. My questions are two-fold. If
19	the first is it says that you're going to
20	talk about the strategic planning process,
21	right, and so that you all had a meeting in
22	June. And my understanding from the minutes
23	for the meeting in June under the strategic
24	planning overview, there was guidance and
25	direction at the meeting in June to

1	pursue there was a motion for status quo
2	2 and a plan for exploring ways to move
3	beyond the constraints in terms of, I guess,
4	what that articulated. Can you tell us what
5	in your strategic plan was status quo 2 and
6	a plan to move beyond the constraints that
7	was recommended at the June
8	MS. DYKES: I don't have the benefit of
9	having the minutes in front of me, but I'll
10	answer the question based on my memory.
11	From that June meeting, we were presenting
12	what we called internally SQ2. It's what we
13	labeled the traditional utility response.
14	It's scenario number two. That's been
15	outlined here. That is the scenario that
16	includes deep cuts to expenses to try to
17	offset some of those rate increases that are
18	going to be needed for our customers.
19	From there, what our board directed us
20	to do in June was to develop, essentially,
21	an execution plan for that, what does it
22	mean to actually go implement that.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRARO: Could you talk
24	into the mike?
25	MS. DYKES: Sorry. To develop an

1 execution plan for that and come back to 2 them with some details on what it means to 3 go execute that. They also directed us to explore ways that we could remove the 4 5 constraints that are associated with being government that we talked about today. 6 that would allow us to develop complimentary 7 8 business lines, to have a more flexible 9 business model, to develop ways to find 10 alternative sources of revenue to offset some of the declines in sales and cost 11 12 increases on our customers. COUNCIL MEMBER PRIESTLY JACKSON: 13 14 would it be fair to say that that 15 quidance -- I'm just reading the minutes --16 that says, Present a plan for implementation 17 of status quo 2 and to move beyond 18 constraints at that time contemplated a more 19 traditional utility response in looking at 20 Charter changes that might be needed to 21 address that. Was that the understanding at 2.2 that June meeting? 23 MS. DYKES: The understanding in June 24 was two things, and I believe both of them

were presented in July as a follow-up to

that request in June. One was the actual
implementation plan for the scenario that
had been presented at the June meeting, and
that was presented in July, to my
recollection.

2.2

The other, though, part of your question was to explore Charter changes. And the mandate, as I recall, it was broader than that, because it's not just our Charter that limits us; it's the Florida state law, it's the Florida Constitution. There are other sources of limitation on us as a government-owned utility, and all of those were explored in the July board meeting.

COUNCIL MEMBER PRIESTLY JACKSON: And probably I'm disadvantaged, because I just have opportunity to read the minutes, and the minutes state that Board Member Alan Howard moved the staff be directed to present a plan for implementation by status quo 2 and plan for exploring ways to move beyond the constraints seconded by Frederick Newbill, motion was approved unanimously.

I am asking those because my understanding at that time was you had not

1	evolved to what was on your agenda as
2	scenario three, which was the actual ITN. I
3	don't see that as one of the motions or
4	action items that ended at the June meeting.
5	Did I miss that, by any chance?
6	MS. DYKES: You have the benefit of
7	having the minutes in front of you. I'm
8	sorry, I don't recall specifically. I'm
9	happy to follow up.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER PRIESTLY JACKSON: The
11	real meat of the question is and I just
12	want to be really clear. I think that the
13	problem is, for me, and I am hearing from my
14	constituents and folks in the public, is
15	it's not so much that you question the
16	information you're receiving, you question
17	the level of transparency and openness to
18	the information you're receiving.
19	So if you end one meeting saying we're
20	going to do X , Y and Z , but then you come
21	back to a meeting on July 23rd, the very
22	first Council meeting by this body, and you
23	change the agenda from what you said at the
24	end of June to July 23rd that then says

pursue scenario three under strategic

1 planning, is what you're saying, in the 2 strategic planning scenario that was not 3 talked about or discussed as evidence in the minutes, that creates angst in the public 4 and an awareness and a lack thereof that 5 that was going to be explored. 6 7 Because if you say that the ITN was just 8 issued to explore, the public perception of 9 reality is that it was an offer to sell. 10 I'm not going to even get to today whether I 11 think an offer to sell the utility out of 12 the Charter under procurement procedure is 13 valid under the Florida Constitution and our 14 Charter; that's for another day. 15 But I need to know what happened between 16 the end of June and when you got together 17 July 23rd that you didn't go through the 18 options you articulated in the strategic 19 planning at your June meeting. I'm unaware 20 of what happened for those days. 21 MS. DYKES: Sorry. Can you repeat the question? 2.2 23 COUNCIL MEMBER PRIESTLY JACKSON: The 24 question is you ended the meeting saying you

were going to explore a more traditional

1 response and Charter changes at your June 2 meeting. That's what you said at the end of 3 June. That's what the minutes reflect. And then on the day of your meeting in 4 5 July, that is not what you did. You then introduced scenario three, which was not 6 said to explore -- there was no motion to 7 8 explore that as an option at the July 23rd 9 meeting. Scenario three was the issuance of 10 the ITN. 11 I need to know what happened between the 12 end of June and the first of -- and July 13 23rd to make you move to that option, 14 because it's not reflected in the minutes or 15 the records from there. It's just agenda 16 change that comes the day of that meeting. 17 I need to know what happened. 18 CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: To clarify, we're 19 talking about scenario five, which is the 20 ITN. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER PRIESTLY JACKSON: On the 2.2 agenda it says scenario three. 23 CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: But they expanded to 24 two more -- so we're on the same page, we're

talking about the ITN?

1	COUNCIL MEMBER PRIESTLY JACKSON: I'm
2	going by their agenda, since that's the
3	public notice of what's actually going to
4	happen.
5	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Have a brief answer so
6	we can move on, but I appreciate the
7	question. I think it's something we do need
8	to see addressed.
9	MS. DYKES: Sure. Yeah. And I
10	understand the question now, thank you very
11	much. So, essentially, what happened
12	between June and the July board meeting was
13	an awful lot of strategic planning work.
14	And the direction we had from the board was,
15	Identify the constraints that we're
16	operating under. And you have, again,
17	access to the minutes from the meeting, so
18	you can quote them more precisely than I
19	can. But what I took as direction is,
20	Identify the constraints and identify what
21	would be required to change those
22	constraints.
23	And the result from that analysis that
24	was presented to the board in July was a
25	little dire in terms of our ability to

change the constraints. Some of them have a path. I think our Charter, in particular, there is a path to being able to change the Charter. When it comes to state law, your probability goes down of ever being able to achieve those changes. And when it comes to the Florida Constitution, it's even significantly lower.

2.2

So rather than wait another month, what we did is offer in July some options for the board to consider. And that resulted in the development of the next three scenarios that are still being worked on now.

think there is the rub. If you're operating from a strategic planning scenario and your board makes a motion at the end that's approved by the body to pursue scenario whatever, two, and then bring us any proposed Charter changes that may need to accompany scenario two, you have no other public meeting before you meet on July 23rd. And then on the date of the 23rd your agenda is changed to reflect exploring something you didn't notice to the public for our

municipally owned utility. It does not mean that your ideas were bad, but it was not timely noticed. The public did not offer input. It seems it can make folks feel that there was a preset agenda.

2.2

And if you say that the Charter creates constraints, that's a good thing. That is a good thing. That is the limitation of -the exercise of our liberty one with another, and our contract and covenant one with another in Jacksonville. So it has to be followed. The beauty is it requires two-thirds of us to change anything in it.

But to ignore that and move to something else not dually noticed to the public and pursue a course of action without consultation with this Council or adequate notice to the public is extremely troubling. And whether it's a good idea or bad, in my heart and spirit, I don't believe it serves the best interest of the public because how it came to be. Therein lies the challenge.

I'm being very candid. Instead of saying we're going to hold, we're looking at something else, what we've revealed, we

1	don't know what you did from the end of your
2	meeting in June to the meeting in July. We
3	don't. It's not reflected in the minutes.
4	We can't tell because you left with one
5	guidance, pursued Charter changes, which
6	would have had a level of transparency and
7	openness, but you decide to forego that for
8	purposes of expediency, I believe.
9	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Can we leave it there?
10	COUNCIL MEMBER PRIESTLY JACKSON: Yeah.
11	There's the problem.
12	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Thank you.
13	Council Member Becton, last question.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER BECTON: Thank you. I
15	have one. And Ms. Priestly Jackson set my
16	question up perfectly. I couldn't have
17	asked for her to do any better job.
18	Thank you for being here. So it has
19	been discussed that there are constraints at
20	the state and local level for helping
21	increase revenue. So if you could and you
22	had your wish, and you have all the Council
23	here today, what would you ask this Council
24	to give you the ability to do that you do
25	not have today as far as reducing local

Ţ	constraints?
2	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Other than running out
3	of the room.
4	MS. DYKES: I appreciate the
5	conversation. I'm not looking to run out of
6	the room.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER BECTON: You have this
8	Council right here. What could this Council
9	do to help you tomorrow by removing a local
10	constraint within our control? What would
11	you ask us?
12	MS. DYKES: I this may not be a
13	satisfactory answer to you, but what I would
14	really like to do is think about that for a
15	little bit. Because it is such an
16	incredibly important question and I have a
17	wish list, I want to go back to the wish
18	list and, with your permission, provide that
19	as a follow-up.
20	You've asked an incredibly, incredibly
21	important question. And when you talk about
22	value creation for the utility, it is such a
23	huge driver of that. And I just don't want
24	to answer off the cuff because I may go back
25	to my list and think, Oh, gosh, I wish I had

1 said --

2.2

Priestly Jackson's -- I mean, this has been out there since June, July. And we've been sitting here, we're a Council that got convened July 1st, and we haven't been asked to do anything. Whether this -- because remember, this is conversation that we've had time and time again.

You know, there is two big constraints that are sitting in this room: Number one, the two-thirds of this Council has to pass whatever comes out of the JEA board member decision; and number two, whatever we do, if it were to pass favorably here, it has to go past a referendum of the voters by majority.

Given -- those are constraints in and of themselves that are quite large, I think, in my opinion. Y'all need to be thinking yesterday and way before what if one of those or both fail -- or they wouldn't both fail because, if the first one fails, it doesn't even go to the second one. What do we need to do to rectify the traditional response versus just status quo? Because

1	that conversation needs to be happening
2	simultaneously while this other process
3	goes. And that's always been my challenge
4	to JEA is to consider nothing is changing,
5	where do we go tomorrow. And so I would
6	hope to get the answer to that.
7	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: It's on our agenda for
8	February when we take a look at scenario
9	number two. I think that's a place for us
10	to have that discussion.
11	President Wilson wanted to comment.
12	COUNCIL PRESIDENT WILSON: I wanted to
13	ask Ms. Sidman if she can clarify the
14	process. I heard Mr. Becton say two-thirds
15	of the Council to place it on the ballot,
16	but I believe it's a simple majority. Can
17	you confirm that?
18	MS. SIDMAN: Through the Chair. To the
19	extent that there is a Charter change that's
20	needed, that would be a two-thirds if you're
21	going to change the Article 21. If it's not
22	a Charter change that's needed, it would be
23	a simple majority to put it on the ballot.
24	COUNCIL PRESIDENT WILSON: So if we're
25	placing it on the ballot, the Charter is

1	being changed by the voters, but not by us,
2	that requires a simple majority to place it
3	on the ballot; is that correct?
4	MS. SIDMAN: So what then would happen,
5	if whatever passed would then require a
6	Charter change, that would come back to the
7	Council and then the Council would make that
8	change and it would have that requisite
9	vote.
10	COUNCIL PRESIDENT WILSON: Can you give
11	me a step-by-step of how that would work?
12	If they brought us a proposal to sell JEA to
13	John Crescimbeni, LLC I just threw that
14	out there because he's in the audience.
15	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: And we will have him
16	up here momentarily.
17	MR. CRESCIMBENI: I have \$75 in my
18	pocket.
19	MS. SIDMAN: Great news that former
20	Councilman Crescimbeni is a qualified
21	bidder.
22	If a piece of legislation was brought to
23	you to approve a contract for sale with all
24	of the terms in the contract, and that was
25	just simply a contract, then that would be a

1	simple majority. Then under the Charter, it
2	would go to the voters to be put on the
3	ballot for a referendum to weigh in on that
4	over 10 percent.
5	At that point in time, you would have
6	information from the voters that would then
7	come back to the Council. And if in that
8	contract it required a change to the
9	Charter, then the Council would consider
10	whether that would be a change to the
11	Charter by two-thirds vote.
12	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: If you could send that
13	to us in writing, I would appreciate that so
14	much as a response to the question.
15	I'm sorry, Ms. Priestly Jackson. I have
16	Mr. Hazouri to get to before I finish up,
17	all right.
18	Council Member Hazouri has joined us. I
19	know he had a prior engagement and was
20	unable to get
21	HEARING OFFICER: I was at our human
22	trafficking meeting this morning. It
23	couldn't be avoided.
24	I think I came in on Ms. Priestly

Jackson's concerns. But I do want to

admonish y'all about one thing. Aaron Zahn walked these halls a year and a half ago before Brenda and others have come here talking about the need for Charter changes, Charter changes, and legislation, state statute changes. And then it kind of died.

2.2

Then y'all brought it up, as I think
Brenda said, in June, and then cast it aside
because you said it takes too long or
whatever your response was. So y'all passed
go, did not collect your \$200 and went
straight to the procurement process.

It doesn't make sense that you didn't give us the opportunity to take the Charter changes that y'all -- I never knew what -- what Danny said, I never knew what your Charter change recommendations were. I don't -- does the delegation even know what state statutes that need to be changed. And yet instead of asking us to try to make us competitive or at least be in the ball game of doing things you can't do today, y'all denied us that opportunity and you denied yourself the opportunity to see if we would pass something, which I know -- and I can't

speak for our Council -- we would, if that's going to help y'all get more business, get the ratepayers a better system, and then the same thing for the delegation.

2.2

2.4

So today I still don't know, and I think
Danny alluded to it, what y'all would want
us to do. Y'all didn't even come forward.
And Aaron discussed that a year and a half
ago. That was what I thought we were going
to be getting. Instead we got the shaft,
and that's the wrong approach.

I think y'all should -- it was

disingenuous, to me, and should be to this

Council for what you all did. And why you

still don't come forward with what you need

to change in the Charter, what you need to

change in the state statutes. You have a

legislative session coming up. You have us

every day to address your Charter changes.

And if we can make a difference and you

don't have to go through this process that

you're going through, we should have done

that. And you didn't give us the benefit of

the doubt. And therein lies the lack of

transparency and cooperation with y'all and

1	with	us.	

place, right.

2.2

2 CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: I think we'll leave it
3 with that. Thank you, Council Member
4 Hazouri.

I did promise Mr. Crescimbeni we would get to him today, but we do have two more quick comments and then -- please limit -- COUNCIL MEMBER PRIESTLY JACKSON: It's really quick. I appreciate Ms. Sidman, who, bless her heart, answers our questions on the spot, which is not an easy thing to do as an attorney, because we're all over the

But I would just like to caution us. I think under the scenario you gave, if they brought a contract back to us in a simple majority, at some point we're going to have to wrestle with if this is a proper procedure before it's under procurement to begin with. And that's under 21.09 and then 21.04(p), their powers. So before we get to that -- and that is directly impacting the ability to have an ITN on the street to do this if it's properly brought. So I just don't know how we want to weave that in, but

1	probably sooner rather than later. If not,
2	I'll just do another legislation after.
3	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: I strongly encourage
4	that you actually do pursue it. Try to keep
5	it out of this mix, that's all I was trying
6	to say in my earlier comment.
7	Mr. Ferraro, very quickly.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRARO: Yes. So we've
9	heard that we want to find out what it is
10	that we can do. And I don't think we should
11	have to wait until the next meeting. If
12	it's something that you have an idea that
13	you can send over to us, I think it would be
14	important.
15	And when I was yelling at you to speak
16	into the mike, people were doing that
17	(indicating) in the background. I didn't
18	mean to yell at you when I was saying that.
19	MS. DYKES: Of course. I appreciate the
20	feedback.
21	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: I certainly appreciate
22	your time today and your sharing.
23	Obviously, I heard the word this morning
24	observation, not advice, a transparency
25	plan, not a communication plan, needs to be

1	brought forward so we understand your true
2	intent in making sure that we're all
3	involved in this process. As Mr. Mace said
4	before, we need to make this transparent and
5	build consensus in this process. I really
6	encourage, and appreciate today as a good
7	first step in that process.
8	MS. DYKES: Thank you for the
9	opportunity.
10	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: As you step away, I
11	invite Mr. Crescimbeni to come up.
12	I'm going to encourage my council
13	members, we will do the best we can to get
14	the presentation materials in advance. I
15	would ask that, if you have a chance, to
16	look at the material and forward questions
17	either to myself or to Ms. Brown so they can
18	be passed on to the presenters so that we
19	can get some of the questions answered as
20	part of the presentation.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRARO: Does she need a
22	break?
23	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: You all right over
24	there? You good? Your fingers all right?
25	COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir. Thank you.

1	СН	AIR	MAN	BOYLAN:	Thank	you,	, Al,	for
2	being	SO	con	cerned,	appreci	ate	it.	

2.2

I invited Councilman Crescimbeni to come because a lot of my thought process in getting the ball rolling on this was going back and taking a look at the community report that was generated a year and a half ago at this point in time. And I thought both the conclusions and some of the opening -- open questions were really quite relevant to what we're doing. In many respects, I saw what we were doing is picking up where we left off.

So as part of that background process, I wanted to give you a chance to share your perspective and speak to a couple of the conclusions and a couple of the open questions you had left behind. I'll give you about 10 minutes to do that.

MR. CRESCIMBENI: Thank you, Chairman
Boylan. My name is John Crescimbeni. I did
have the opportunity to chair a committee
that grew from 5 to 19 members, and probably
rightfully so. This was an important issue,
and I think a lot of council members wanted

1 to be involved on it. And perhaps 5 wasn't
2 the right number to start off with.

2.2

But let me first say that I served on
the Council when I chaired this special JEA
committee. I had been on the Council for
about 17 and a half years. I think I became
a JEA customer when I moved out of my
parents' home in 1981. Have multiple
accounts with JEA, business, personal. I've
sat on the finance committee for many years.
I went through their budgets every year. I
was the finance chair.

But I can tell you, add all that up, and it was only a little bit of what I knew about JEA compared to what I learned going through the special committee. I walked away from the special committee with a lot more knowledge about JEA. You heard about some of that at your meeting on the 25th with regards to the contributions they make to the City, their employee contributions to the City, et cetera.

I looked up -- before I came here at the last meeting, I took out the old report and dusted it off. And I did a little Googling

1	and found out this was like right up there
2	with the top holiday reading materials, it's
3	right behind A Wonderful Life, but ahead of
4	Charles Dickens', A Christmas Carol.
5	If you haven't read this, I brought
6	copies. I can't say any more than this
7	report does. I mean, Mr. Clements kind of
8	put this all together for us, and he did a
9	heck of a job. When I read through this a
10	couple weeks ago prior to coming on the
11	25th, I was really amazed at what a great
12	summary it was of what our committee did.
13	So you asked about does everybody
14	have a copy of this report.
15	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Yes.
16	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Anybody need one?
17	So you asked about conclusions and
18	outstanding questions. I think there is
19	it page 7? Page 15?
20	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: 16 and 17.
21	MR. CRESCIMBENI: We walked away with
22	five unanswered questions: What's the true
23	value of the utility to the city government?
24	I'm not sure how you determine that. I
25	think there is an argument for what JEA may

1	be going through now as a way to answer that
2	question and give you a number.
3	What factors should be considered
4	relevant in determining whether the JEA
5	should be privatized or not? Clearly that's
6	going to be a question that the City Council
7	may have to face if the JEA board considers
8	that.
9	How should purely monetary
10	considerations be balanced against
11	intangible value the JEA provides to the
12	region? I think the Council Auditor
13	provided the special committee in 2018 with
14	an excellent review of those intangible
15	contributions that are made by JEA to the
16	community.
17	And then the fourth one was, What
18	process should be used to perform the
19	balancing test and involve the citizens in
20	helping make a final decision? Well, that
21	one you can check off, because that's
22	happened.
23	Last year I introduced a resolution, I
24	think Mr. Dennis cosponsored it, that called
25	for a straw ballot question in November.

And that bill was approved by the Council,

the Mayor signed the bill, the question went

on the November ballot.

2.2

2.4

It was the second most -- how should I say this? It received more yes -- it was the second item on the November Duval County ballot to receive the most yes votes. It trailed behind constitution amendment number 12 that received more yes votes than any gubernatorial, senate, pick any race, it outperformed them.

And, of course, once that happened, there was a pending -- there was pending legislation that I'd introduced to change the Charter. And once the straw ballot issue was resolved, the Council took up that pending legislation to change the Charter and allow the citizens to participate in a vote if 10 percent -- or more than 10 percent of the assets of the utility were sold. That bill was approved, the Mayor signed that bill, and the Charter was changed.

There was a question about sale earlier, and I would remind Peggy that there are a

couple legal opinions that I procured in 2018. And one was on point to, Does a sale constitute a de facto change in the JEA Charter? And the legal answer I got back -- I think that was a June 2018 opinion -- was that it does not. So that would risk -- any sale that the Council would consider would simply be a 10 percent -- a majority vote of 10 or whatever your quorum was.

2.2

The conclusions on page 16 and 17, I mean, you've got a copy of the report, I won't read those into the record. But I can tell you that, if you haven't read this report, you're doing yourself a disservice by not reading it. If you read it last year and you were on the committee, you may want to dust it off like I did, because I had forgotten how comprehensive it was. The committee did a great job. And Mr. Clements did a great job summarizing everything.

We had all kinds of presentations
throughout our process in 2018. We had the
Public Service Commission come over. You
may want to engage them again. There was
discussion at your November 25th meeting

about freezing rates. And we asked that

question specifically, and I think it might

have been captured in the report, I believe

it was.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

Investor-owned utilities have what's known as a uniform rate. So if Utility ABC is doing business in Florida, and they have customers in Miami and Pensacola and Ocala, they all pay the same rate regardless of what happens in either -- any of those jurisdictions. So if there is a -- the Public Service Commission told us if there is a hurricane that hits Miami-Dade, and Miami-Dade, their system incurs \$50 million in damage, they don't have the FEMA reimbursement ability that a municipal does, they go to the Public Service Commission, they ask for a special storm levy, maybe it's \$3.90 per month for the next 17 years, everybody, every customer that's being serviced by the utility in Miami-Dade gets to pay that regardless of whether they saw a hurricane or not.

CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: I have a couple questions, if you would rather jump to

1	questions now.
2	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Sure.
3	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Two of your
4	conclusions actually spoke to what Council
5	Member Hazouri and others have talked about
6	here with respect to expanding its operation
7	to other related business lines. So and
8	the last one talks about it may require some
9	amendments to the Charter. Was there any
10	further conversation with respect to the
11	expansion of business lines?
12	MR. CRESCIMBENI: On page 14, that's
13	covered on page 14 towards the bottom.
14	Mr. Zahn did come to the committee. And I
15	think the last page of the report,
16	Exhibit 15, were some of the things that he
17	discussed with the committee. So there was
18	conversation about other opportunities that
19	were perceived at that time from natural
20	gas, to dark fiber, the list was lengthy.
21	And what was your second question?
22	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: How is that related to
23	the Charter conversation? Was there
24	sounds like there was some conversation
25	about actually reviewing making possible

1	Charter	changes.

2.2

2 MR. CRESCIMBENI: I don't know if the
3 committee had any conversations. I had some
4 conversations with Mr. Zahn about the
5 Charter. I think I instructed Council
6 Auditor's Office to engage with them.

My primary focus was not necessarily -we did have some conversation about business
lines, but my primary conversation was about
what I thought was something that was
deserving of a clarification; and that was
the 10 percent. That question came up at
your November 25th meeting.

I got a legal opinion early on that probably suggests that JEA -- that the clock on the 10 percent, the adding machine, the calculator, started in 1968. So in every likelihood, they probably are very close or have surpassed their 10 percent and should be coming back and talking to us. I think that's unrealistic. I don't think that's a way to -- selling a 10-year-old pickup truck shouldn't be something the Council should have to weigh in on.

So my conversation with Mr. Zahn and

1 with council auditors was trying to come up 2 with structured formula, calculation. 3 envisioning maybe a 10-year moving, sliding period of time where that 10 percent was 4 5 accrued, not necessarily --CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Let me address that to 6 7 some extent. They bought a new truck, we're 8 replacing trucks, acquisitions need to be 9 taken into consideration too. 10 MR. CRESCIMBENI: If you read the legal 11 opinion, you -- on the 10 percent, I think 12 that was an area -- and that was my primary 13 focus in my discussions with Mr. Zahn. 14 can ask the Council Auditor about any 15 meetings they had. My term was ending, it just kind of fell through the cracks. 16 17 CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Any other council 18 members have questions for Mr. Crescimbeni? 19 Mr. Salem. 20 COUNCIL MEMBER SALEM: It just seems 21 like, John, that the discussion on these 2.2 changes to the Charter or going to the state 23 legislature to make changes, just it may 24 have been you leaving office, I'm not sure,

but there seemed to be a lot of focus on

25

1	that and then it just seemed to fall off a
2	cliff.
3	Is that your impression or and the
4	JEA went more towards other alternatives.
5	MR. CRESCIMBENI: I can't speak for
6	anything that happened after July 1st. I
7	told you I'm not taking any responsibility
8	for anything after July 1st. But leading up
9	to July 1st, I can tell you that I did have
LO	some conversations with Mr. Zahn. And I'm
L1	pretty sure the council auditors had
12	conversations.
L3	My primary focus, though, at that point
L 4	was to solve this 10 percent dilemma as
15	opposed to other business lines.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER SALEM: It would be of
17	interest to me to see exactly how
18	difficult I keep hearing this word
L 9	difficult and challenging and all those
20	types of terms. I really would like to
21	understand that a lot more, in terms of what
22	it would take. And I've got to assume there
23	are businesses out there that would compete
24	with us to try to have JEA get into those
>5	husinesses whatever they are solar

1	et cetera, that they're in that business now
2	and I assume they would have lobbyists in
3	Tallahassee trying to prevent us. But I
4	still would like to understand that a lot
5	more.
6	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: So we're talking about
7	three different levels. We're talking about
8	local charter; we're also then talking about
9	state legislature; and also talking about
10	the Florida Constitution.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER SALEM: That is correct,
12	all three of those.
13	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: If we could look into
14	that, Ms. Sidman, to get an answer to the
15	question just to how can we change the
16	Charter as it relates to those three
17	particular barriers, if you will.
18	MS. SIDMAN: Sure.
19	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Mr. Crescimbeni, any
20	parting comments or advice for me as the
21	chair of this committee other than run out
22	of the room?
23	COUNCIL MEMBER CARLUCCI: Good luck,
24	would that be in there somewhere?
25	MR. CRESCIMBENI: I have no advice for

Τ	you, Mr. Chairman.
2	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Thank you so much for
3	your time. And I can't tell you how
4	important and how much I appreciated your
5	report and the work that went into it, and
6	Council Auditor Billy and his work prior to
7	that. It really helped me understand
8	MR. CRESCIMBENI: It wasn't me; it was
9	the committee. It was the 19-member
10	committee. We had 14 or 15 meetings over
11	maybe a four-month, five-month period. So
12	that was a group effort, to say the least.
13	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Thank you very much.
14	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Mr. Dennis.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Through the
17	Chair to Councilman Crescimbeni. I served
18	on that committee with you. And I want to
19	thank you. I learned a lot about JEA and
20	the whole process.
21	In your opinion, when we concluded the
22	committee and the report was issued, did you
23	ever think that we would be right back here
24	in such a short amount of time?
25	COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: He doesn't mean

1	with the DIA.
2	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: That too. But
3	did you think that we would be here once we
4	put a bow on it? I mean, because this
5	report should have lasted as a framework for
6	several years. And if you can't speak on
7	it you know, let's deal with the elephant
8	in the room. You are a City of Jacksonville
9	employee now. And so, you know, don't want
10	to put you in a bad situation. But give us
11	your opinion on your thoughts as the chair
12	of this committee or that committee, and
13	once we concluded and where we're at today.
14	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Well
15	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Only if you're
16	comfortable in doing so.
17	MR. CRESCIMBENI: To answer your first
18	question did I bring that with me or not?
19	I thought I had that in my folder here, but
20	I actually oh, yeah, here we go.
21	So I wrote this letter to the editor on
22	November 1st, prior to last year's election,
23	and I said that, The conversation about
24	selling JEA is likely to come up again next

year after the city elections. So I guess

25

1	the answer to your first question is, yes, I
2	did think this would probably come back up.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: I guess, in
4	closing, thank you for the work that you did
5	on the special committee.
6	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Thanks for your help
7	on that committee as well, and all the other
8	returning council members who are here today
9	who served on that committee.
10	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Appreciate it very
11	much.
12	Board Chair for JEA has requested a few
13	minutes of time to share some remarks. I'm
14	going to invite Ms. Green to come up and do
15	so at this time. Try and keep this within
16	the timeframe as much as possible, three
17	minutes.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Excuse me,
19	Chair. Will we have opportunity to ask
20	questions or she's just speaking to us in
21	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Time limitations,
22	we're hard at 1 o'clock, we'll see how time
23	goes. We may have time for one or two.
24	MS. GREEN: Thank you. Before I read my
25	prepared statement, I'd like each of you to

1	know that I've heard every comment and
2	concern here today. And I have to tell you
3	that I am a little taken aback by some of
4	the things I've heard today, because to hear
5	Councilman Hazouri say there were
6	conversations about Charter changes and
7	things like that, and we did not come back
8	to thoroughly flush that out is very
9	disappointing.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: It's true,
11	though.
12	MS. GREEN: Yes, sir.
13	So JEA has dominated the front page of
14	the papers, the news, social media and every
15	rotary club and City Council meeting and
16	virtually every conversation most of us are
17	having these days. We all know it hasn't
18	been positive.
19	As your JEA Board Chair, I appreciate
20	the opportunity to come here today. Thank
21	you, Councilman Boylan.
22	Today's presentation was to remind you
23	why we started down this path at JEA, at
24	least to some degree why I believe we find
25	ourselves in this, what seems to be, very

contentious discussion and to ask for some level of indulgence, to be able to press the restart button on some of what has happened over the last 90-plus days.

2.2

Because my profile has been low and because my style is to work, not to be outspoken on the controversy of today, nobody should mistake that for a lack of attention to the matters of JEA. That's all matters of JEA that impact our customers and our employees.

Ratepayers want to know that, when the switch is flipped, they have reliable power at an affordable rate now and going forward. When the faucet is turned on, they want clean, reliable water. When a storm hits, we're ready to restore those services. And when customers hit a rough patch, they can work with us to smooth out their payments over time until they get on their feet. They want to make sure the electric and utility, water utility, they have come to know stays reliable, accessible, affordable, environmentally responsible and a part of this community.

All of the employees at JEA want to know that their jobs are secure today and in the future.

2.2

Whatever strategic outcome is presented, as the board chair -- and granted, I am one vote. I will only support a plan going forward that will protect the ratepayers and the employees and was crafted in an open and transparent manner, which also follows all legal and ethical standards both real and perceived.

Rather than restate the highly
publicized concerns or correct misleading
information, I would like to suggest some
positive steps forward. Let's end the
discussion of the performance unit plan.
Let's not pause it, let's not postpone it,
let's end that discussion. End all spending
of ratepayers' money on fliers, brochures,
ads and et cetera, to disseminate facts or
status updates. This process is a
traditional government procurement discovery
or an intent to negotiate process.
Permittable data and process updates will be
provided on the JEA website for free.

I would like to include a Council

Member, possibly Council Member Becton, as

our JEA liaison into the ITN process.

Understanding this may construe their

participation in a possible vote in the

future, but it will add an additional layer

of transparency.

2.2

I would also like to recommend a resolution to implement into the bylaws or however it needs to happen that a JEA board member cannot be employed or contracted with JEA for a period of one year after leaving the board. I personally, especially after hearing what I heard today, am committed to meet with each and every council member to answer every question you may wonder about from a board perspective, receive any suggestions, research any matters about what you are curious and take all suggestions under serious consideration.

In the end, whatever proposed strategic option the board brings back to Council for your review, it may not meet your test. We certainly don't know yet. We have five scenarios, and the board has not made a

final recommendation on which scenario it
will support.

2.2

2.4

In conclusion, I was raised in this community, educated in the public school system, as well as all seven of our children. I am most proud to say that, for those that left to pursue a higher education, they have returned home to become active and productive individuals. I'm also fortunate to have both my parents and my in-laws reside in this community with me.

Over the last 10 years, I've volunteered to serve on numerous community boards to contribute back to my community. I'm a military veteran who has served in Desert Storm. And I've worked at one of the oldest prestigious faith-based institutions in the state of Florida.

And, yes, I've heard the rumors that I'm serving on the JEA board to ensure my husband has a job. But for those of you who know my husband, understand he can hold his own. So I want to be clear, that I am honored to serve on the authority board as a passionate volunteer.

1	As I stated, I'm here today to ask for
2	your indulgence and ask you to hold me
3	accountable for the commitments I have made
4	here today. It is my hope that we can move
5	forward with more trust in this process
6	because we have a responsibility to this
7	community to be diligent, aboveboard,
8	forward thinking, and transparent as it
9	relates to the future of the authority in
10	our community. I thank you all for your
11	time.
12	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Thank you, Ms. Green.
13	I would encourage I know we are at our
14	time limit, but I know some of my colleagues
15	would like to offer comments or questions.
16	I would ask that you get them to us in
17	writing. We'll invite you to come back at
18	our next session to respond to them directly
19	if you care to or have a representative from
20	JEA to do so.
21	MS. GREEN: Yes, sir. And I will make
22	it a point to be at every workshop from now
23	on.
24	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Thank you very much.
25	My apologies to the seven cards for

1	public comment I have today. As you know,
2	we try to keep ourselves on a timeline
3	that's very strict. I want to recognize who
4	they were, who submitted cards. Mr. Kuhn,
5	Mr. Tilley, Mr. Bruderly, Mr. Fouraker,
6	Mr. Mikalsen, Ms. Benham and Mr. Gilbert.
7	Again, feel free to send to MBoylan@COJ.net
8	your comments or questions. I will be more
9	than happy to put them into the record and
10	add them to the conversation for our next
11	session in January, which is scheduled for
12	January 13th.
13	We are adjourned.
14	(Meeting adjourned at 1:03 p.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA
3	COUNTY OF DUVAL
4	I, Amanda E. Robinson, Registered
5	Professional Reporter, do hereby certify that I
6	was authorized to and did report the foregoing
7	proceedings; and that the transcript, pages 1
8	through 125, is a true record of my stenographic
9	notes.
10	
11	DATED this 18th day of December, 2019.
12	
13	
14	Church Charles
15	Amanda E. Robinson, Registered Professional Reporter
16	Registered Professional Reporter
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	